

*LCN Fund Full Submission*

## *Supplementary Answer Form*

Tick if this answer is Confidential: ☐

Tick if this answer has been provided verbally: ☐

|  |   |                 |                  |
|--|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Project code:                          | UKPN2002  | Question Number | 8                |
| Question date                          | 1 September 2011  | Answer date     | 6 September 2011 |
| Submission section question relates to | Page 34   |                 |                  |
| Topic                                  | Benchmarking of Partners' costs   |                 |                  |
| Question                               | Please will you provide some information, possibly with an example, of how Partners' costs have been benchmarked?   |                 |                  |
| Notes on question                      |   |                 |                  |
| Answer                                 | For Smarter Grid Solutions, Imperial College, Converteam and Fundamentals, Partners' costs have been benchmarked against their costs from previous projects they have been involved, such as Low Carbon London. Cambridge University costs were benchmarked against Imperial College costs as both are academic institutions. GL Garrad Hassan costs were benchmarked against industry average consultancy rates. Alstom costs were benchmarked against costs submitted by Siemens for identical smart devices. Cable & Wireless Worldwide is a registered vendor with UK Power Networks and their costs for FPP were lower than those under existing framework agreements. |                 |                  |
| Attachments                            |   |                 |                  |
| Verbal Clarifications                  |   |                 |                  |

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| (Consultants<br>) |  |
|-------------------|--|